## Selected Immunohistochemical Prognostic Factors in Endometrial Cancer

Ivana Markova, MD,\*† Milada Duskova, MD,†‡ Marek Lubusky, MD, PhD,†§ Milan Kudela, MD,†§ Jana Zapletalová, MA, PhD,// Martin Procházka, MD, PhD,†§ and Radovan Pilka, MD, PhD†§

**Objective:** The objectives of this study were to assess the immunohistochemical expression of *p53*, *bcl-2*, *c-erbB-2*, Ki-67, estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR) receptors, matrix metalloproteinase-7 and -26 (MMP-7 and MMP-26) in endometrial cancer patients and to assess the relation between steroid receptor positivity and other markers. **Design:** Experimental prospective study.

Setting: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Department of Genetics, Department of Pathology, Palacký University Medical School and University Hospital Olomouc.

**Methods:** We studied 144 cases of primary untreated endometrial carcinoma in which the *p53*, *bcl-2*, *c-erbB-2*, Ki-67, ER, PR, MMP-7, and MMP-26 antigens were investigated with the use of immunohistochemical methods. We evaluated the correlations among immunohistochemical staining and the age, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics stage, grading, depth of invasion, and metastatic spread to lymph nodes.

**Results:** Mean age was 65.7 years (range, 34–90 years). *p53*, *bcl-2*, *c-erbB-2*, Ki-67, ER, and PR were positive in 35 (24.3%), 100 (69.4%), 41 (28.4%), 65 (45.1%), 115 (79.8%), and 127 (88.1%) cases, respectively. Matrix metalloproteinases were evaluated in a group of 70 patients, wherein MMP-7 was positive in 33 patients (47.1%) and MMP-26 was positive in 40 patients (57.1%). The expression of MMP-7 decreased with higher patient age. *p53* and Ki-67 overexpression was found to be related to poor differentiation. Immunostaining for *bcl-2* correlated with the positivity of steroid receptors status, whereas immunostaining for *c-erbB-2* correlated inversely with ER-positive group of cases.

**Conclusions:** The overexpression of p53 and Ki-67 seems to indicate a more malignant phenotype, whereas *bcl-2* expression in dependence of steroid receptor positivity could contribute to the identification of high-risk tumors.

Key Words: Endometrial cancer, Immunohistochemistry, Prognostic factors

Received January 7, 2010, and in revised form February 3, 2010. Accepted for publication February 6, 2010.

(Int J Gynecol Cancer 2010;20: 576–582)

G ynecologic malignant tumors represent approximately 15% of all female tumors in the Czech Republic. Its incidence is rising worldwide. In 2005, the incidence in the

\*Department of Medical Genetics and Fetal Medicine, Palacký University Medical School; †University Hospital Olomouc; and Departments of ‡Pathology, §Obstetrics and Gynecology, and ||Biophysics, Palacký University Medical School, Olomouc, Czech Republic. Address correspondence and reprint requests to Martin

Procházka, MD, PhD, Department of Medical Genetics and Fetal Medicine, IP Pavlova 6, 77520 Olomouc, Czech Republic. E-mail: martin.proch@email.cz. Copyright © 2010 by IGCS and ESGO ISSN: 1048-891X

DOI: 10.1111/IGC.0b013e3181d80ac4

Czech Republic reached 33.2 per 100,000 women, which, in absolute numbers, represents 1739 women.<sup>1</sup>

The reasons for the increasing incidence are multifactorial. At diagnosis, the course of the disease is dependent on age, histological type, status of hormonal receptors, clinical stage, and other factors (dietary and hormonal influences and increasing life expectancy in the female population).<sup>2</sup> Among the most important risk factors are prolonged unopposed endometrial stimulation, obesity, age, nulliparity, diabetes, hypertension, tamoxifen therapy, and, in many cases, their combination.<sup>2–6</sup>

To improve treatment and dispensary of patients with endometrial cancer, a number of prognostic factors were studied. Well-known and routinely used prognostic factors include

International Journal of Gynecological Cancer • Volume 20, Number 4, May 2010

age, disease stage, histological type, histological grade, depth of myometrial invasion, and lymph node involvement.<sup>2,3,7,8</sup> Currently, great efforts are being made to identify high-risk groups, which could enable conservative therapy in patients with good prognosis as well as reserve effective and more radical treatment for patients with more aggressive forms of the disease.

An abnormally increased expression of the p53 tumor suppressor gene in endometrial cancer correlates with aggressive histological types, advanced disease stage, and decreased survival.<sup>9–12</sup>

Increased expression of oncogene *bcl-2* is described in endometrial hyperplasia; on the contrary, loss of expression is associated with a worse prognosis, greater depth of myometrial invasion, a more advanced disease stage, and a greater probability of lymph node metastases.<sup>12–15</sup>

Amplification and increased expression of oncogene *c-erbB-2* (HER-2/*neu*) is present in 10% to 40% of endometrial carcinomas and correlates with a worse prognosis and more aggressive tumor behavior.<sup>16,17</sup>

One of the most common markers of cell proliferation is Ki-67. Most endometrial carcinomas express a low Ki-67 proliferation index and have a good prognosis, although most serous and clear cell tumors have a high proliferation index. Correlation with grading, disease stage, and histopathological tumor type has been confirmed in numerous studies.<sup>18–20</sup>

It seems that estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR) expression plays a significant role in endometrial carcinogenesis. Based on the results of numerous studies, the expression of these receptors is associated with well-differentiated tumors and correlates with tumor stage and survival.<sup>18,21–23</sup>

Matrix metalloproteinase 7 (MMP-7) was immunolocalized in tumor cells in 73% of endometrial cancer cases, and higher levels were associated with a more advanced disease stage and the presence of lymph node metastases.<sup>24</sup>

High levels of MMP-26 were described in hyperplastic endometrium, whereas its expression in endometrial cancer decreases with histological dedifferentiation. Similarly to MMP-7, this enzyme is also limited to only epithelial and tumor cells of the endometrium.<sup>25</sup> The significance of MMP-7 and MMP-26 for the prognosis of women with endometrial cancer has not been studied to date.

There is an effort to use the information obtained from changes in the expression of tumor biomarkers to decrease the radicality of surgical and radiation therapy. The aim of this work was to assess the immunohistochemical expression of molecular biological markers, the relation between steroid receptor positivity and other markers, and the correlation of all biomarkers with clinicopathological characteristics of endometrial cancer.

### PATIENTS AND METHODS

### **Characteristics of the Patient Set**

Between September 2001 and March 2009, 154 cases of primarily untreated endometrial cancer were studied at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at the University Hospital Olomouc. Postoperative staging was performed using the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) classification (1988). Detailed characteristics of the patient set are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the patients was 65.7 years (range, 34–90 years). The group of 10 rare samples (6.5%) consisted of 6 cases of papillary serous adenocarcinoma, 2 cases of clear cell carcinoma, 1 carcinosarcoma, and 1 stromal sarcoma, which were all excluded from the study.

Abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral adnexectomy was performed on all patients. Systematic pelvic lymphadenectomy was performed in 107 high-risk patients (69.4%).

Postoperatively, high-risk patients underwent actinotherapy and brachytherapy based on individual choice at the Oncology Department at the University Hospital Olomouc.

Our study was confirmed by the ethical committee, and all patients obtained and signed the informed consent.

### Immunohistochemistry

The processed material included endometrial cancer samples obtained from the abdominal hysterectomy after previous diagnosis confirmation from diagnostic curettage. The immunohistochemical analysis of all tissue sections was done by one pathologist (M.D.) to reduce the amount of error in interpretation. The samples were processed based on standard after a 24-hour fixation in 10% formaldehyde and embedded into paraffin blocks followed by routine staining with hematoxylin-eosin to establish histopathological diagnosis. Samples with sufficient amounts of well-preserved

## **TABLE 1.** Clinicopathological characteristics of endometrial cancer patient set

Characteristic	No. Patients (%)
All cases	154
Age, yr	
<65	79 (51.2)
>65	75 (48.7)
FIGO stage	
Ι	105 (68.1)
Π	28 (18.1)
III–IV	21 (13.6)
Histological type	
Endometrial carcinoma	144 (93.5)
Rare	10 (6.5)
Grade	
1–2	120 (77.9)
3	34 (22.0)
Myometrial invasion	
Not present/<50%	91 (59.0)
>50%	63 (40.9)
Lymph node involvement	
Negative	130 (84.4)
Positive	24 (15.5)

tumor structures were then selected for subsequent immunohistological examination and processed into tissue slices 5 to 8  $\mu$ m thick on Vectabond (Vector)-coated slides. For the detection of individual markers, a standard indirect immunohistochemical technique was used with a set of rabbit or mouse antibodies (for *p53, c-erbB-2*, Ki-67, ER, and PR, DAKO; for *bcl-2*, BIOGENEX; for MMP-7 and MMP-26, Abcam).<sup>24–28,30</sup> The analysis was performed with positive controls.

Quantification of positivity was expressed in percentages. The median value was the established positivity limit for *p53*, *bcl-2*, *c-erbB-2*, Ki-67, MMP-7, and MMP-26. Samples with nuclear staining of at least 20% of tumor cells were considered *p53-* and *bcl-2*–positive, and samples with 40% or higher were considered Ki-67–positive. Samples with c-erbB-2 membrane positivity were considered positive in 10% and higher, and the positivity limit for MMP-7 was set at 65% and higher, whereas that for MMP-26 was set at 40% and higher. The positivity limit for ER and PR was set to 5% based on numerous previous studies.<sup>29–31</sup>

### **Statistical Evaluation**

The  $\chi^2$  test and Fisher exact test were used to evaluate the relation between clinicopathological parameters and immunohistochemical markers as well as the relation between immunohistochemical markers and status of hormonal receptors. The level of statistical significance was established at P < 0.05. The statistical program SPSS version 15 (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL) was used to process the results.

### RESULTS

# Correlation With Clinicopathological Parameters

In Table 2, we present the distribution of positive immunohistochemical staining in relation to individual clinicopathological parameters in 144 cases of endometrial cancer. Matrix metalloproteinase-7 and -26 were evaluated in only 70 patients with endometrial cancer.

A statistically significant dependence between grading and p53 was observed. In the group with grade 3 tumors, there was a significantly greater p53 positivity compared with the groups with grades 1 and 2 (42.3% vs 20.3%, P = 0.018). Similarly, in the group of Ki-67–positive tumors, correlation with grading was noted; in the group with grade 3 tumors, a significantly higher Ki-67 positivity was seen compared with the groups with grade 1 and 2 tumors (65.3% vs 40.6%, P = 0.022). The only marker correlating with the patient's age was MMP-7; in the group of patients younger than 65 years, a significantly higher positivity of this marker was observed compared with the patients older than 65 years (52.2% vs 38.4%, P = 0.027).

Although no statistically significant dependence among *bcl-2, c-erbB-2*, ER, PR, MMP-26, and any clinicopathological parameter was observed, we observed a trend of increased *c-erbB-2* and Ki-67 expression when myoinvasion exceeded 50% of myometrial thickness. On the contrary, with PR, a trend of decreased expression was observed in clinically

TABLE 2. Correlation of individual immunohistochemical markers with clinicopathological parameters	of individu	al immunor	istochemical	markers with	clinicopatholc	ogical paran	neters			
	Total No.	<i>p</i> 53, n (%)	<i>bcl-2</i> , n (%)	<i>c-erbB</i> -2, n (%)	Ki-67, n (%)	ER, n (%)	PR, n (%)	Total No.	MMP-7, n (%)	MMP-26, n (%)
All endometrial carcinoma	144	35 (24.3)	100 (69.4)	41 (28.4)	65 (45.1)	115 (79.8)	127 (88.1)	70	33 (47.1)	40 (57.1)
Age $<65$ yr	76	16 (21.0)	53 (69.7)	25 (32.8)	34 (44.7)	60 (78.9)	67 (88.1)	44	23 (52.2)	22 (50.0)
Age $>65$ yr	68	19 (27.9)	47 (69.1)	16 (23.5)	31 (45.5)	55 (80.8)	60 (88.2)	26	10 (38.4)	18 (69.2)
Р		0.336	0.936	0.214	0.918	0.773	0.989		0.027	0.740
FIGO stage I–II	128	31 (24.2)	91 (71)	38 (29.6)	57 (44.5)	104 (81.2)	115 (89.8)	63	29 (46.0)	37 (58.7)
FIGO stage III–IV	16	4 (25)	9 (56.2)	3 (18.7)	8 (50)	11 (68.7)	12 (75)	7	4 (57.1)	3 (42.8)
Ρ		0.754	0.255	0.558	0.679	0.317	0.099		0.762	0.557
Grade 1–2	118	24 (20.3)	82 (69.4)	34 (28.8)	48 (40.6)	97 (82.2)	107 (90.6)	57	27 (47.3)	33 (57.8)
Grade 3	26	11 (42.3)	18 (69.2)	7 (26.9)	17 (65.3)	18 (69.2)	20 (76.9)	13	6 (46.1)	7 (53.8)
Ρ		0.018	0.979	0.847	0.022	0.135	0.085		0.937	0.790
Myometrial invasion <50%	87	17 (19.5)	59 (67.8)	20 (22.9)	34 (39)	71 (81.6)	77 (88.5)	45	18 (40.0)	25 (55.5)
Myometrial invasion >50%	57	18 (31.5)	41 (71.9)	21 (36.8)	31 (54.3)	44 (77.1)	50 (87.7)	25	15 (60.0)	15(60.0)
Ρ		0.100	0.600	0.072	0.071	0.518	0.886		0.108	0.719
Lymph node involvement, N	125	29 (23.2)	89 (71.2)	35 (28.0)	56 (44.8)	101 (80.8)	112 (89.6)	61	28 (45.9)	36 (59.0)
Lymph node involvement, M	19	6 (31.5)	11 (57.8)	6 (31.5)	9 (47.3)	14 (73.6)	15 (78.9)	6	5 (55.5)	4 (44.4)
P		0.405	0.241	0.747	0.834	0.539	0.166		0.426	0.319
<i>P</i> value $< 0.05$ .										

© 2010 IGCS and ESGO

	Total No. (N = 144)	<i>p53</i> , n (%)	<i>bcl-2</i> , n (%)	<i>c-erbB-2</i> , n (%)	Ki-67, n (%)	Total No. (N = 70)	MMP-7, n (%)	MMP-26, n (%)
ER status								
Negative	29	5 (17.2)	9 (31.0)	3 (10.3)	9 (31.0)	8	6 (75.0)	6 (75.0)
Positive	115	26 (22.6)	88 (76.5)	35 (30.4)	54 (46.9)	62	27 (43.5)	34 (64.8)
P		0.530	< 0.0001	0.028	0.122		0.136	0.452
PR status								
Negative	17	7 (41.1)	4 (23.5)	2 (11.7)	7 (41.1)	5	3 (60.0)	2 (40.0)
Positive	127	28 (22.0)	96 (75.5)	39 (30.7)	58 (45.6)	65	30 (46.1)	38 (58.4)
Р		0.128	< 0.0001	0.152	0.727		0.661	0.645

**TABLE 3.** Distribution of immunopositivity of *p53*, *bcl-2*, *c-erbB-2*, Ki-67, and MMP in association with hormonal receptor status

advanced tumors (FIGO stage III-IV) and in poorly differentiated tumors (grade 3).

## *p53, bcl-2, c-erbB-2,* Ki-67, and MMP in Association With Hormonal Receptor Status

As seen in Table 3, no significant difference in staining positivity of *p53*, Ki-67, MMP-7, and MMP-26 dependent on ER or PR positivity was observed. On the contrary, the percentage of *bcl-2*–positive endometrial tumors was significantly higher in the ER-positive group than in the ER-negative group (76.5% vs 31.0%, P < 0.0001). Also, a significantly greater *bcl-2* positivity was seen in the PRpositive group than the PR-negative group (75.5% vs 23.5%, P < 0.0001). Surprisingly, a statistically significant dependence between the ER group and *c-erbB-2* was discovered, where the ER-positive group had a significantly higher positivity of this marker compared with the ER-negative group (30.4% vs 10.3%, P = 0.028).

### DISCUSSION

Our results show correlation between certain biological markers and clinicopathological prognostic factors in primary endometrial cancer.

Elevated p53 expression significantly correlated only with poor differentiation of endometrial tumors. A number of works confirm an association between elevated p53 expression and unfavorable prognostic factors in women with primary endometrial cancer.<sup>12,13,20,32</sup> Mariani et al<sup>17</sup> described p53 as the only molecular marker able to predict distant metastases independent of other histopathological, molecular, and cytokinetic parameters. Furthermore, various authors confirm a much higher p53 expression in serous tumors and clear cell tumors than in endometrial cancer, which support the hypothesis on the mutation of gene p53 as a late event in endometrial cancer and, on the contrary, an early event during the development of rare endometrial tumors.<sup>21,33,34</sup> The results of Halperin et al<sup>21</sup> regarding p53 immunoreactivity in grade 3 tumors and papillary serous tumors demonstrate the uniqueness of grade 3 tumors, which are histopathologically more similar to papillary serous tumors than to endometrial cancer. Grade 3 tumors probably follow a different path of carcinogenesis than grades 1 and 2 tumors. Our results are in accordance with the data of Halperin et al and Inoue,<sup>35</sup> although we have not included papillary serous tumors in our study.

The antiapoptotic gene bcl-2 regulates programmed cell death and thus lengthens cell survival that aids in the spread of the tumor process. A number of studies have confirmed that *bcl-2* expression increases in endometrial hyperplasia and is decreased in endometrial cancer. This loss of expression correlates with worse prognosis, worse clinical stage, depth of myometrial invasion, and lymph node involvement.  $^{12-15,36}$  The relation between loss of *bcl-2* expression and biological aggressiveness of endometrial cancer seems paradox; the mechanism is not yet fully understood. Similarly to Appel et al,<sup>37</sup> we did not observe a correlation between bcl-2 expression and degree of tumor differentiation, depth of myometrial invasion, and lymph node involvement. In accordance with works by other authors, we demonstrated a significantly positive correlation between bcl-2 expression and positivity of hormonal representation of ER and PR,<sup>14,21,36</sup> which could be an important prognostic factor for a negative prognosis.

An elevated expression of Ki-67 indicates increased cellular mitotic activity and proliferation. A number of studies have shown that Ki-67 is an independent prognostic indicator of survival.<sup>9,20,38,39</sup> On the contrary, Pansare et al<sup>40</sup> did not show any correlation among Ki-67, histological type, grade, and clinical stage of the disease. An elevated Ki-67 expression in our work significantly correlated with poor differentiation, and we also observed a trend of elevated expression in tumors with deep invasion. Our results are partly in accordance with works by Lax et al<sup>11</sup> and Salvesen et al,<sup>19</sup> who demonstrated a correlation among elevated expression of Ki-67 with grading, depth of myometrial invasion, and risk of recurrence.

The fact that increased expression of the oncogene *c-erbB-2* correlates with worse prognosis has already been confirmed in various malignant tumors. According to certain authors, increased expression correlates with grading, depth of myometrial invasion, and advanced disease stage.41-44 Recently in their extensive study (483 cases), Morrison et al<sup>45</sup> demonstrated that the increased expression of *c-erbB-2* as an independent prognostic factor correlated with worse survival. Our work did not confirm a significant dependence with traditional prognostic factors of endometrial cancer, similarly to Coronado et al<sup>46</sup> or Czerwenka et al.<sup>47</sup> Nonetheless, a trend of increased expression with deep invasion was observed, which correlates with the abovementioned study results. On the contrary, in terms of *c-erbB-2* expression independent of hormonal receptor status, a significantly higher expression in the ER-positive group was observed. Owing to the oftenopposing study results, the use of this factor remains ambiguous.

Estrogen and progesterone receptors are present in both normal endometrial tissue and endometrial cancer. Based on the results of various authors, the presence and the amount of steroid receptors correlate with the clinical stage of the disease, the histological grade, and survival. The absence of steroid receptors is considered a negative prognostic factor for aggressive growth and poor prognosis.<sup>18,22,48,49</sup> Expression of ER and PR in our work did not reach statistical significance independent of clinical stage, grading, myometrial invasion or metastatic spreading; however, a trend of inverse correlation between PR and clinical stage III to IV and poor tumor differentiation is apparent. This result is in accordance with the abovementioned works; in addition, it seems that PR may be a stronger prognostic factor than ER, as supported by other authors.<sup>23,49,50</sup>

An important member of the family of metalloproteinases with epithelial expression is MMP-7 (matrilysin-1), whose expression was captured in both normal and malignant epithelial cells. There are a limited number of published studies involving the expression of MMP-7 in endometrial cancer. Ueno et al<sup>24</sup> demonstrated that increased MMP-7 expression correlated with a worse clinical disease stage and with the presence of lymphatic metastases. A similar trend was described by Graesslin et al<sup>51</sup> and Wang et al.<sup>52</sup> Our work showed a statistically significant dependence only between age and MMP-7, that is, in patients older than 65 years, the expression of MMP-7 was significantly lower.

Another member of the subfamily of matrilysin enzymes was described as MMP-26 (matrilysin-2). Matrix metalloproteinase-26 is also expressed in various tissues, including endometrial cancer. It has been established that MMP-26 expression specifically fluctuates during the menstrual cycle. Findings of elevated levels midcycle and in hyperplastic endometrium and, on the contrary, low levels in the late phase of the cycle and in endometrial cancer point to an association with estrogen receptors. Isaka et al<sup>53</sup> and Pilka et al<sup>25</sup> demonstrated a significantly decreased MMP-26 expression in endometrial cancer, which is in discordance with the results of Tunuguntla et al,<sup>54</sup> who described an increased immunohistochemical expression of MMP-26 in poorly differentiated endometrial cancer. Our study did not show dependence on classic prognostic factors of endometrial cancer.

### CONCLUSIONS

The significance of various immunohistochemical parameters for the prognosis of patients with endometrial cancer has not yet been fully established. The results of our work show that besides clinicopathological factors, molecular biological prognostic factors may contribute to better tumor characterization and thus more precisely determine its clinical behavior. A goal for the future should be further classification of endometrial cancer subtypes based on their genetic alterations, especially those with prognostic significance. It is probable that future histological classifications will rely more on the molecular basis. For the eventual practical diagnostically therapeutic use of molecular biological factors, additional studies are needed.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grant IGA NR 9098-4/2006 from the Ministry of Health, Czech Republic, and by grant MSM 6198959216 from the Ministry of Education, Czech Republic.

#### REFERENCES

- ÚZIS (Ústav zdravotnických informací a statistiky ČR ve spolupráci s Národním onkologickým registrem ČR). Novotvary 2005 ČR.
- 2. Rose PG. Endometrial carcinoma. N Engl J Med. 1996;335:640–649.
- 3. Ascher SM, Reinhold C. Imaging of cancer of the endometrium. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 2002;40:563–576.
- 4. Canavan TP, Doshi NR. Endometrial cancer. *Am Fam Physician*. 1999;59:3069–3077.
- American Cancer Society. Detailed guide: endometrial cancer. Available at: http://documents.cancer.org/140.00/140.00.pdf. Accessed September 9, 2008.
- 6. Goodman MT, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, et al. Diet, body size, physical activity, and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Cancer Res.* 1997;57:5077–5085.
- Creasman WT. Malignant tumors of the uterine corpus. In Rock JA, Jones HW, eds. *Operative Gynecology*. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2003:1445–1486.
- Kodama S, Kase H, Tanaka K, et al. Multivariate analysis of prognostic factors in patients with endometrial cancer. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 1996;53:23–30.
- Geisler JP, Wiemann MC, Zhou Z, et al. p53 as a prognostic indicator in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1996;61:245–248.
- Cherchi PL, Marras V, Capobianco G, et al. Prognostic value of p53, c-erb-B2 and MIB-1 in endometrial carcinoma. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* 2001;22:451–453.
- Lax SF, Pizer ES, Ronnett BM, et al. Clear cell carcinoma of the endometrium is characterized by adistinctive profile of p53, Ki-67, estrogen, and progesterone receptor expression. *Hum Pathol.* 1998;29:551–558.
- 12. Ohkouchi T, Sakuragi N, Watari H, et al. Prognostic significance of Bcl-2, p53 overexpression, and lymph node metastasis in surgically staged endometrial carcinoma. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;187:353–359.

© 2010 IGCS and ESGO

- Erdem O, Erdem M, Dursum A, et al. Angiogenesis, p53 and bcl-2 expression as prognostic indicators in endometrial cancer: comparison with traditional clinicopathological variables. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 2003;22:254–260.
- 14. Sakuragi N, Ohkouchi T, Hareyama H, et al. Bcl-2 expression and prognosis of patients with endometrial carcinoma. *Int J Cancer.* 1998;79:153–158.
- Yamauchi N, Sakamoto A, Uozaki H, et al. Immunohistochemical analysis of endometrial adenocarcinoma for bcl-2 and p53 in relation to expression of sex steroid receptor and proliferative activity. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 1996;15:202–208.
- Lukes AS, Kohler MF, Pieper CF, et al. Multivariable analysis of DNA ploidy, p53, and HER-2/*neu* as prognostic factors in endometrial cancer. *Cancer*. 1994;73:2380–2385.
- 17. Mariani A, Sebo TJ, Webb MJ, et al. Molecular and histopathologic predictors of distant failure in endometrial cancer. *Cancer Detect Prev.* 2003;27:434–441.
- Ferrandina G, Ranelletti FO, Gallotta V, et al. Expression of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), receptors for estrogen (ER) and progesterone (PR), p53, Ki-67, and neu protein in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2005;98:383–389.
- Salvesen HB, Iversen OE, Akslen LA. Identification of high-risk patients by assessment of nuclear Ki-67 expression in a prospective study of endometrial carcinomas. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1998;4:2779–2785.
- Salvesen HB, Iversen OE, Akslen LA. Prognostic significance of angiogenesis and Ki-67, p53, and p21 expression: a population-based endometrial carcinoma study. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17:1382–1390.
- Halperin R, Zehavi S, Habler L, et al. Comparative immunohistochemical study of endometrioid and serous papillary carcinoma of endometrium. *Eur J Gynaecol Oncol.* 2001;22:122–126.
- Kadar N, Malfetano JH, Homesley HD. Steroid receptor concentrations in endometrial carcinoma: effect on survival in surgically staged patients. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1993;50:281–286.
- 23. Morris PC, Anderson JR, Anderson B, et al. Steroid hormone receptor content and lymph node status in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1995;56:406–411.
- Ueno H, Yamashita K, Azumano I, et al. Enhanced production and activation of matrix metalloproteinase-7 (matrilysin) in human endometrial carcinomas. *Int J Cancer*. 1999;84:470–477.
- Pilka R, Norata GD, Domanski H, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-26 (matrilysin-2) expression is high in endometrial hyperplasia and decreases with loss of histological differentiation in endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2004;94:661–670.
- 26. Brown DC, Gatter KC. Monoclonal antibody Ki67: its use in histopathology. *Histopathology*. 1990;17:489–503.
- 27. Rolitsky CD, Theil KS, Mc Gaughy VR, et al. HER-2/*neu* amplification and overexpression in endometrial carcinoma. *Am J Pathol.* 1999;18:138–143.
- Apolinario RM, van der Valk P, de Jong JS, et al Prognostic value of the expression of p53, bcl-2, and bax oncoproteins, and neovascularization in patients with radically resected non–small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997;15:2456–2466.
- Fitzgibbons PL, Page DL, Weaver D, et al. Prognostic factors in breast cancer. College of American Pathologists Consensus Statement 1999. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2000;124:966–978.
- 30. Jalava P, Kuopio T, Huovinen R, et al. Immunohistochemical staining of estrogen and progesterone receptors: aspects for

evaluating positivity and defining the cutpoints. *Anticancer Res.* 2005;25:2535–2542.

- Ogawa Y, Moriya T, Kato Y, et al. Immunohistochemical for estrogen receptor and progesterone receptor status cancer: analysis for a cut-off point as the predictor for therapy. *Breast Cancer*. 2004;11:267–275.
- Soong R, Knowles S, Williams KE, et al. Overexpression of p53 protein is an independent prognostic indicator in human endometrial carcinoma. *Br J Cancer*. 1996;74:562–567.
- 33. Tashiro H, Isacson C, Levine R, et al. p53 gene mutations are common in uterine serous carcinoma and occur early in their pathogenesis. *Am J Pathol*. 1997;150:177–185.
- Zheng W, Cao P, Zheng M, et al. p53 overexpression and bcl-2 persistence in endometrial carcinoma: comparison of papillary serous and endometrioid subtypes. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1996;61:167–174.
- Inoue M. Current molecular aspects of the carcinogenesis of the uterine endometrium. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2001;11:339–348.
- Geisler JP, Geisler HE, Wiemann MC, et al. Lack of bcl-2 persistence: an independent prognostic indicator of poor prognosis in endometrium carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1998;71:305–307.
- 37. Appel MLM, Edelweis MI, Fleck J, et al. p53 and bcl-2 as prognostic markers in endometrial carcinoma. *Pathol Oncol Res.* 2008;14:23–30.
- Geisler JP, Geisler HE, Miller GA, et al. MIB-1 in endometrial carcinoma: prognostic significance with 5-year follow-up. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1999;75:432–436.
- Nordstrom B, Strang P, Bergstrom R, et al. A comparison of proliferation markers and their prognostic value for women with endometrial carcinoma. Ki-67, proliferating cell nuclear antigen, and flow cytometric S-phase fraction. *Cancer*. 1996;78:1942–1951.
- 40. Pansare V, Munkarah AR, Schimp V, et al. Increased expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha in type I and type II endometrial carcinomas. *Mod Pathol*. 2007;20:35–43.
- Ioffe OB, Papadimitriou JC, Drachenberg CB, et al. Correlation of proliferation indices, apoptosis and related oncogene expression (*bcl-2* and *c-erbB-2*) and p53 in proliferative, hyperplastic and malignant endometrium. *Hum Pathol.* 1998;29:1150–1159.
- Khalifa MA, Mannel RS, Haraway SD, et al. Expression of EGRF, HER-2/*neu*, p53 and PCNA in endometrioid, serous papillary and clear cell endometrial adenocarcinomas. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1994;53:84–92.
- 43. Mattias-Guiu X, Catasus L, Bussaglia E, et al. Molecular pathology of endometrial hyperplasia and carcinoma. *Hum Pathol*. 2001;32:569–577.
- 44. Williams JA Jr, Wang ZR, Parrish RS, et al. Fluorescence in situ hybridisation analysis of HER-2/*neu*, c-*myc* and p53 in endometrial cancer. *Exp Mol Pathol*. 1999;67:135–143.
- 45. Morrison C, Zanagnolo V, Ramirez N, et al. HER-2 is an independent prognostic factor in endometrial cancer: association with outcome in a large cohort of surgically staged patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:2376–2385.
- 46. Coronado PJ, Vidart JA, Lopez-asenjo JA, et al. p53 overexpression predicts endometrial carcinoma recurrence better than HER-2/neu overexpression. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2001;98:103–108.
- Czerwenka K, Lu Y, Heuss F. Amplification and expression of the *c-erbB-2* oncogene in normal, hyperplastic, and malignant endometria. *Int J Gynecol Pathol.* 1995;14:98–106.

- Jazaeri AA, Nunes KJ, Dalton MS, et al. Well-differentiated endometrial adenocarcinomas and poorly differentiated mixed mullerian tumors have altered ER and PR isoform expression. *Oncogene*. 2001;20:6965–6969.
- Nyholm HC, Christensen IJ, Nielsen AL. Progesterone receptor levels independently predict survival in endometrial adenocarcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1995;59:347–351.
- Fukuda K, Mori M, Uchiyama M, et al. Prognostic significance of progesterone receptor immunohistochemistry in endometrial carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol.* 1998;69:220–225.
- 51. Graesslin O, Cortez A, Uzan C, et al. Endometrial tumor invasiveness is related to metalloproteinase 2 and tissue

inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 expressions. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2006;16:1911–1917.

- Wang FQ, So J, Reierstad S, et al. Matrilysin (MMP-7) promotes invasion of ovarian cancer cells by activation of progelatinase. *Int J Cancer*. 2005;114:19–31.
- Isaka K, Nishi H, Nakai H, et al. Matrix metalloproteinase-26 is expressed in human endometrium but not in endometrial cancer. *Cancer*. 2003;97:79–89.
- Tunuguntla R, Ripley D, Sang QX, et al. Expression of matrix metalloproteinase-26 and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases TIMP-3 and -4 in benign endometrium and endometrial cancer small star, filled. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2003;89:453–459.